

**McCloud Watershed Council
Community Survey Results - March 10, 2008**

Question 1	Question 2	Question 2a	Question 2b	Question 2c	Question 2d	Question 3	Question 4	
Where do you live?	What result would you like to see regarding the Nestle project?	Go forward as contract currently stands	Change/Adjust Contract? What would you change?	Reject project entirely- Why?	Other project comments:	List your ideas about option McCloud has to revive economy:	Suggestions for the MWC:	Additional comments/ Questions:
1 McCloud				Need an even playing field and more study	need more community involved and armed with FACTS	Build on tourism-town meeting for suggestions	Draw in more help from the community- locals	
2 McCloud				Not a good fit for McCloud/not to be trusted	No project is the only way our future has hope	Small business industries that are truly green	Have more meetings where the community can speak	
McCloud				honesty, amount per gallon		Stop putting a stop to additional small businesses		
3 McCloud				Don't want extra traffic		Open up more access to river, woods	Open up more access to river, woods	
4 McCloud				We should not allow resources like water to be sold like this		Great Question-check back with me.		
5 Mt. Shasta			Has Nestle looked at using McCloud railroad to mitigate truck trips?	Pricing per acre too cheap				Has Nestle looked at using McCloud railroad to mitigate truck trips?
6 Mt. Shasta		Bad Idea!	More money per acre foot. Renegotiate terms every 5 years	Problems on Hwy 89! Truck traffic, quality impact. Conditions and mitigations will be decided by folks in Yreka- not McCloud! EIR to be certified by Siskiyou Co. Board of Supervisors.	Legal disputes between local agencies and Nestle? Who has jurisdiction? Money to challenge a big multinational in case of dispute?			FROM 2D:Legal disputes between local agencies and Nestle? Who has jurisdiction? Money to challenge a big multinational in case of dispute?
7 McCloud				It is a very bad idea.	Need new district board	Brewery, pre-fab houses	Stick with it	
8 McCloud				Dirty deal to begin with- Never Nestle	McCloud glass bottled waters!!!	No quick fix-more events, school at mill site	Don't deal with Nestle at all-remember why you are	
9 Some Bar				Nestle is un-trustworthy. Bottling foreclosures on other water uses.		tourism promotion, steal business from Mt. Shasta	Stop Nestle	
10 Redding				Keep local water local & avoid bottle waste water should be public trust not commodity, pollution	Don't do it	Tourism, fishing, eco-friendly activities	fight this like hell	
11 Palo Cedro						Tourism, fishing, eco-friendly activities		
12 McCloud			Much smaller and only 1 facet of economic development in area- no exclusive contracts	Diversity is the key to a healthy community	Do what's best for all folks.	At least try to encourage woodworking workshops or college classes utilizing wood- our other huge resource.		
13 McCloud	A better deal for McCloud		More money for the town per gallon and a shorter term on the contract.			Theme park based on the history of the area.		
14 Mt. Shasta			McCloud to bottle their own water themselves.	No corporate rip-off!		Find investors or get loan to do it by yourself		
15 Mt. Shasta				Too large	Search for alternative		More publicity	
16 Mt. Shasta			Yes.	Poor contract	Biomass Co Generation			
17 McCloud		No- bad contract	Price per acre feet, 20-30 year, not 100 years	I would like that also. Cottage industry instead.	Artist, cottage industry, wood workers, College of the Redwoods.	Tourism, small business, cottage industry, artist colony.	Save our water for our children and country.	
18 McCloud-SVR		Yes						unreadable
19 McCloud			No bore holes- never. Use train instead of trucks. Price per a/f should be on a graduated scale- no water from McCloud River.	The contract is too long.				
20 McCloud			Smaller, less trucks. More regulations. More checkpoints. No 50 years, half size of the building & production.		Nestle responsible for passing lanes on 89. Full costs to add.	Explore many industries- NOW.	Help community demand written protections with Nestle.	
21 McCloud			Infrastructure support. Higher \$ per gallon. Keep McCloud's historic mill as a facade to visually screen plant.		Extra traffic on Hwy 89 need wider highway.	History, (unreadable)		
22 Mt. Shasta				Too many costs and risks with no real economic plusses for McCloud		Tourism- expand efforts, upscale vacation homes, recreation.	Buy Nestle land & create McCloud-owned community water plant.	
23 McCloud SVR	No bottling works in McCloud	No		We need to keep control of our water				

24	McCloud		Yes				None seen on the past 15 years.		
25	McCloud SVR		No		Not enough jobs, money, pay, water scarcity		Brewery, wood furniture manufacturer.		
26	McCloud		Yes- I'm tired of the delays!						
27	McCloud			10 years, Less amount of water	not a good deal for McCloud			More community involved.	
28	McCloud SVR				Against water as a commodity	Town is growing in a sustainable way.			
29	McCloud				Yes- Water should not be privatized Because there is a better way to make money.		micro-industries, brew pub, small milling operations.		How does McCloud address its financially burdensome infrastructure issues?
30	McCloud							Keep on keeping on!	
31	McCloud		No	Contract time limit, price per gallon of water, size of plant.	Yes- Water should not be privatized Do not believe in selling water to private enterprise.		More small businesses.	Long scientific study , 10 years minimum.	
32	McCloud								
33	McCloud				Nestle is not a good neighbor. It's our water.		New econ. Studies including whole town for input.		
34	McCloud		Yes- we need jobs in McCloud	Nothing- get on with it.	No		Bring in industry i.e.- Nestle	Stop	
35	McCloud SVR			New EIR, safeguards for Squaw Valley residents.					
36	McCloud		Yes. It will be adjusted as time goes by.				There are no options without enormous investment	Stop fighting the investment that McCloud needs to survive. Stop harassing the people who are against you.	
37	McCloud		Yes				Except for Nestle I don't know of any.		
38	McCloud SVR				Yes- It stinks. Poor deal for the community & for the environment		Community water project, market our own other more green opportunities such as educational trades. A brewery would be good too.		
39	Shasta Forest			Have McCloud build its own small water bottle plant.	Not enough local benefit		Make a McCloud water plant	Make a McCloud water plant	
40	McCloud		Yes, with current modifications				Open to new ideas but none are available yet.		
41	Mt. Shasta				Too corporate for small town.		Continue with tourism & look for small green industry.		
42	McCloud			Length of contract, better compensation, only spring water 100 year contract is a bit much, maybe 25.					
43	McCloud					Bring it on "Git er done"			
44	McCloud		Yes				Downtown isn't only part of town	Get lost	
45	McCloud		Yes				Nestle	Stop fighting	
46	McCloud		With current modifications			Be cooperative for the benefit of the community	Nestle	Control the radicals in your group.	
47	Mt. Shasta				Inability to determine and quantify the existing water reserves.		Build on recreation and destination resort status.	Look at what other "clean" industries have done to communities, i.e., Prisons-Susanville,	
48	Mt. Shasta				Ultimately not in the best interest of McCloud or the county.		Summer organized recreation, brewery and hotel, town-run water bottling plant.	More open forums for discussion- do not cut Q&A-run over!	
49	McCloud		Yes- it's good for McCloud					You need to get your facts correct	
50	McCloud		Yes				Jobs that pay well & benefits	Give facts not fallacy	
51	Mt. Shasta				We have immense potential to grow as a model community for the 21st century. Nestle hinders that opportunity.		Renewable energy, eco-tourism, bike trails, organic agriculture.	Transparency build trust- keep engaging community.	Thank You!
52	McCloud SVR		Yes- Done deal, move on			Work to improve benefits of Nestle in the community.	Dedicate earned money to fire and ambulance	Look at other watershed issues.	
53	McCloud						All options with jobs for the people.		
54	McCloud			If we can't reject them, then change the amount they pay for water, make the plant at least half size, limit trucks, limit water	Nestle corp. is the devil, why let them in, we can't trust them to abide by the contract.		Tourism, small businesses like brewing company, or furniture manufacturing, etc.	Keep up the pressure on Nestle, keep the public notified- you're doing great!	Thanks!
55	McCloud		Yes- It benefits McCloud, not special interests					Get info correct.	

56	Mt. Shasta				Does not seem beneficial to county or McCloud in future.		Community-run or cooperative water bottling facility.	Come to other communities in area to hold forums	
57	McCloud		Yes- increase revenue						
58	McCloud				Do not support corporatization of natural resources.		Woodworker/ furniture cooperative		
59	McCloud			1)- Town's revenue from water should reflect present values & inflation. 2) No base line data in CEQA doc (EIR). 3)Annex mill site 4) utilize railroad 5)No drilling 6)accountable monitoring of effects			Camping, recreation, access to reservoir & river (currently limited access)	1)Focus on the EIR 2) how do we renegotiate the contract 3) reduce size	Is it feasible to consider a community-owned water company? Need qualified "ologists" to develop alternative actions for EIR and create thorough mitigation measures that Nestle would have if adverse impacts. Does county have qualified CEQA specialists? Shouldn't Nestle be responsible to come up with appropriate mitigation measures. Why lead agency funded Nestle research for EIR specialist reports, Forest Service inputs, etc.
60	McCloud		Yes- good for us				all options would be good, if jobs are made.		
61	McCloud SVR				I do not believe that bottled water is good for any party	It is just another gimmick- and an extraction industry.		Introduce all sorts of new "industry" and ideas for McCloud	
62	McCloud	I am in favor of Nestle coming here.		Less than 100 years- perhaps in 25-year increments.		I am in favor of Nestle coming here.			
63	McCloud			Everything, almost	Yes, if changes cannot be made		small cottage industry like Jefferson Lumber		
64	McCloud/Huntington Beach			Shorter life of contract, more economic gain for the town, Nestle shouldn't have exclusive rights to water.					
65	McCloud			Inflation for annual payments				Lousy presentation Ms. Lee	
66	McCloud			Reduce the length of the contract. Also flexibility to increase the amount paid for the water in the future.			Need an employer that can pay benefits.		
67	McCloud		Yes. Jobs, firemen, school kids.						
68	McCloud		NO	smaller plant, less traffic i.e. pollution, more revenue for McCloud			Tourism		
69	McCloud			100 year term too much- 25 year interval		CEQA and court review will sort out problems.		Help Nestle come to McCloud	
70	McCloud		Yes						
71	Castella		No	No			The town of McCloud do the water company. There would be a lot of people in the area to give you a hand with grants, management, running operations, etc. Put it up and see what happens!		I haven't been able to buy any CCDA Water (Dannon water) anywhere in local stores. I see it sold in airplanes and motels.
72	Shasta Forest				Nestle's reputation for adversely effecting communities		Furniture making industry		Water (and air) should not be bottled and sold for profit to industry. Plastic bottles have become hazardous to the planet and are more and more becoming recognized as such.
73	Shasta Forest				1) Plastic bottles are terrible for the environment 2) A multi-national corporation the size of Nestle can never be controlled at a local level once they are in place with a huge building & insufficient local resources to monitor their activities.	There are other water plants in the area- do we have full information on how many local people work at each and what the average wage is. Crystal Geyser recently ran a job ad and the skills required & prior levels of experience needed were out of reach.		Keep up! The Mono Lake Committee started just like this and look what they've accomplished.	COPIED FROM 2c: There are other water plants in the area- do we have full information on how many local people work at each and what the average wage is
74	McCloud	March 13, 2008		Compensation, amount of draw from springs/wells, Building site run-off		McCloud Watershed Council	Cottage industries i.e.: Terramai, etc. , college extension.	Good job, keep going	Community Survey Results

75	McCloud SVR			MCSD share of payment needs to be increased (price per gallon)	If MCSD can't get more per acre foot of water.				
76	McCloud			amount paid for water					
77	Shasta Forest				Nestle's history as a corporation, exploiting resources.		Promote tourism and the natural beauty of the area.		
78	McCloud			Everything	Don't trust the way it's written, we were not informed.	Don't need big business	Look for honesty		
79	McCloud	No	No		This will have adverse effects on the environment and people and the town.	Don't believe everything Nestle says!	Quit stopping every business from coming into the town	Do not trust the McCloud Services District to represent people of McCloud.	
80	McCloud				The MCSD BETRAYED the people of McCloud	Bring in a different company and let all choices be made by the citizens	Better businesses that care about the town and not just themselves	Keep up the good work and make your voices heard.	
81	McCloud				It would use too much water; we would have to ration our water; traffic would prevent us from getting to Mt. Shasta in a reasonable amount of time. There would be very few jobs, therefore not bring more people to fill schools.	We need a smaller business if we have to have one.	Find a company who is honest, and let the people vote on it.	No, you've done all you can, just keep plugging away at them!	Why does the Service District have so much control of out town? We were sold out by one manager, then we had no say about who took over Dennis Dalton's spot on the Board. Shouldn't we have had a vote on that?
82	McCloud				Too many lies		An honest company		
83	McCloud				I don't want water rationed. They can go steal someone else's water.		When decent companies come in certain people don't want competition. If all the logging roads weren't locked by private companies and the Forest Service, there are a lot of outdoor activity businesses would utilize.	Listen to the people who care about McCloud and our water.	The monopoly in this town keeps competitive companies out. The people here now are not from the real McCloud and need to stop trying to run our business. And stop listening to the Hitlers at the Service District! We get nothing out of the agreement those idiots made with a water company!
84	McCloud				Foreign company, too cheap a price for our water. Too much traffic.	There ARE many long-time residents who aren't in your group who Don't want this.	I don't know, but something with a high enough wage to draw and support families.		
85	McCloud				It benefits Nestle only and not McCloud residents			Keep up the good work!	
86	McCloud				Will cause irreversible damage to all aspects of McCloud.		Too many to list here.	Carry on good work!	
87	Mt. Shasta				McCloud should be bottling it's own water! PERIOD.		No brainer! Mt. Shasta should have too (see 2c)	At least find a way to be an equal partner (and look into compensation programs similar to on Indian reservations where citizens are given educational, health and/or financial benefits/compensation for having their natural resources "mined": by outside parties.	
88	Castella			Environmental protections increased, profit sharing w/ McCloud, smaller operation			Do a smaller version of the project by themselves.	Help them do this without Nestle.	

89	Castella					Love the idea of an enterprise that will provide employment and boost the economy, but only if it is a meaningful boost. This resource is so valuable that it should not be given up cheaply. Control of the resource should be kept in the hands of the locals. The jobs must be quality jobs, with fair pay & benefits. It is important to include profit sharing w/ employees or community.	Even a light use of the water is still a LOT of water! McCloud could create & operate a community-owned endeavor, resulting in all the profits staying in McCloud. Financing should be easy to obtain, as should people who have management expertise. Why should Nestle make the money?	Take on setting up a community-owned & operated water harvesting enterprise. All this takes is a few people to take the time to set this up. Experienced consultants and managers could be found to come in & help. The MWC is in a perfect position to make this work & do some good for this area for the long-term.	The use of water does not need to be a large-scale operation. A smaller version of a water harvesting enterprise would be more in harmony w/ the McCloud community. Creative earth-friendly measures should be a big part of any plan. Examples: use rail instead of trucks, use recycled glass packaging rather than plastic, shrinkwrap 2 gallon w/ one smaller bottle- this way it is refillable and lessens the number of small bottles needed.
90	McCloud			Wells out, boreholes out, no bulk sales, traffic flow, compensation raised		Electrical demands vs. new developments	Music venue at old dump land fill		
91	McCloud		No	change contract completely					
92	Fairfax, CA				Yes				
93	Lake Shastina				drawing water table, traffic, bad corporate policies				
94	Napa, CA			Make it more agreeable to McCloud residents					
95	McCloud			AXE IT	Frivolous use of water, environmental concerns, air quality, watershed downstream (ecological issues), CVP aquifer unknowns, too big a draw (1,800,000 bottles produced per day). Borehole concerns, trucks, pavement, transport, issues w/ roads, safety on Hwy 89, toxic mill site questions, removal of historic mill, big white block building inconsistent w/ historic town;; idling trucks spewing diesel smoke, inevitable fences, barbed wire, etc., light and noise issues. Egregious contract-lack of regulation enforcement.	MCSO board not listening to constituents, contract signing issues.	Tourism, waterslides, emphasize beauty here; recreation.		Too many water bottlers already in area! Mt. Shasta water should stay where it belongs. Above all, Nestle is not a good neighbor (evidence: other plants in country), business practices nefarious/corrupt, NAFTA concerns, etc., etc., etc
96	McCloud				Too many water companies already in the area. Nestle's track record in other areas not good-hardly a week goes by without an ad for help at Mt. Shasta's plant. Nestle will not fix McCloud's problems (employment).	The process- lack of public input to contract is enough to reject it.	Destination resort tourism, replicate programs in Hayfork i.e.. Jefferson State Forest products. Uses small diameter logs left over from logging and thinning.		
97	McCloud		No!	Start over with a complete EIR to discuss Before signing. Don't give away our one asset.	Lies. At meetings we were told plant use of water would be from wells, now it comes from town water. No bottle manufacturer here- see plot plan and brochure- onsite manufacture of plastics. We assume liability for Nestle- NO!	More people on Medi-cal, water plant usages. No housing here they could afford, subsidized phones, heat, lights.	It is not our job to revive it. People come here for our quiet, water, clean air, and less traffic and live. Why destroy that without helping anyone?	It is difficult. Too many are brainwashed. The facts escape them.	
98	Mt. Shasta		I oppose the contract in its entirety; not enough benefit for McCloud, the real benefit goes the international corporation. I feel like it sells the very soul of McCloud.	The very least that should be done is to change contract- tie benefit to McCloud w/ company profits & amount of water taken. Eliminate use of groundwater from contract- don't accept a promise.	The project should be rejected is its entirety because: present contract doesn't compensate McCloud fairly, diminishes precious water resources, great quality water is way too precious to be given away commercially.	Does anyone realize how much water 1,600 acre feet is= 521 million gals. per year. How is it that anyone in McCloud has the authority to give away 520 million gallons of water for one year, never mind for 100. At the very least, the people of McCloud should be able to vote for or against the project. Any detrimental environmental impact should be dealt w/ harshly in the contract. Any unacceptable environmental changes should result w/ automatic termination of contract.	Why can't the city of McCloud develop their own water bottling plant and unique logo? McCloud could keep all the profits in town and be known for its great water, as it once was known for its timber.	Promote the cancellation of the existing contract. Promote the development of the city-owned & operated McCloud Water Company. Finance company through local investment, stocks, bonds and grants.	Does McCloud belong to the Historical Society? If not, join! You have a unique, lovely town- be proud of it.

99	McCloud SVR			Limit water Use!	Yes we like the peaceful community as it is!!	Keep out big business!	This community does not need reviving!	Do anything to keep our community as it is!!	
					Yes. I sincerely believe that McCloud will become a "company town" if they allow Nestle to own their most valuable resource. While the 60 jobs appear to be a "carrot" for a desperate community I suspect that the carrot is just a teeny one and will spoil very quickly. Will these 60 jobs really save you economically? Will they pay enough to buy houses and necessary living expenses or will you need to find rentals for people that make \$10 per hour. Does McCloud want to be McNestle?	With Nestle as the largest employer the town will soon be at the mercy of a very large foreign corporation. You need to ask yourself if you want a McDonalds/ Jack in the Box atmosphere or what you already have, a very fine and very unique place that tourists spend money to get to because it isn't like every other community. Tourists savor the views, atmosphere, lack of traffic and peacefulness. Will Tourists want to go to a community that has as its centerpiece a huge factory? It's too bad that the county can't provide some redevelopment money so the community could bottle it's own water and remain in charge of their special resource.	Perhaps the good citizens and business owners need to create a colorful brochure incorporating all of McCloud's special features and locate it at all the Travel Centers in California. Currently this is being done on an individual basis that now requires interested parties to read all brochures. A big, splashy brochure with pictures of all of McCloud's attractions and costs born by all interested parties should actually save locals money and bring the attention of this fine community to the public eye. We would be glad to donate to that cause.		We spend a lot of time in McCloud, parking our RV for various lengths of time throughout the spring and fall (at Friday's). We also always bring out of town visitors to McCloud to enjoy the wonderful scenery, fishing, waterfalls, local retail shops (the candy shop!), sunset dinner train, etc. I brought my elderly mother for a few nights stay at a B & B last year and this was memorable for her. We came for Thanksgiving dinner on the Dinner Train. We love the community and are extremely fearful for its citizens and loss of this special and unique place.
100	Cottonwood, CA	Reject Project							
101	Indian Springs, CA				Yes. Nestle Corp, is an international mega company that is only interested in profit. Period. Not McCloud, no the environment, not the population. They are here for the bottom line, and whatever impact it has locally in not an issue. Their portrayal of bringing jobs is moot. The administrative structure for their efforts will be brought in from corporate offices. Minimum wage jobs will be their forte'. The impact to traffic, culture, and local recreation will be devastating. Life as we love it in McCloud will no longer exist.		Possibly a software, technology based business. Either internet based, or within the medical community. Business's would love to have their employees live in such a grand area, local amenities, and flavor. Recreation and beauty at their doorstep. Second is recreation based with fishing and golfing a possibility.	Keep up the fight! Don't allow corporate greed to destroy a way of life, and pay for CEO bonuses.	
102	Redding	No		The main change I would like to see would be to index the cost of water to the cost of living or some other acceptable index, and set the new price every 2 or 3 years especially since we are talking about a long term contract. What is a good price for water today will erode with inflation. I am happy to hear that Nestle will not drill for water and have agreed to a definite limit of water usage. I agree that Squaw Valley Creek should be monitored as to water flow, and if an agreed amount of decrease accrues, then some agreed amount of less water diversion to Nestle must occur.			Encourage the railroad to transport the water bottles out of McCloud to a distribution point, rather than use trucks--much cheaper--if in quantity, and will obviate needing to redo the highway, be quieter than 50 truckloads a day-----only 1 train of 50 carloads-----or 2 trains of 25 carloads.	Make sure some business minded people are on it to ensure a reasonable contract to all parties	Thank you for taking our comments

103	Weed		No	<p>Yes. Renegotiate the price Nestle has agreed to pay for the water. At the current price they are stealing it & they have openly admitted that they will make so much money under the current contract they will pay for the plant in the first year. This is greed at its utmost.</p>	<p>Yes. The give McCloud & the citizens of Siskiyou Co. the opportunity to make a better choice of offering our natural resources to anyone, including Nestle.</p>	<p>The current offer includes a proposed 1 million dollars a yr. to the County. Unless this is earmarked for all county services required to support the Nestle plant it will be lost in the general fund. Services I refer to are highway maint., including snow removal, repair and resurfacing of the highway due to increase in truck traffic, & the monitoring of the operation & related costs to the co. Anything that results in a cost to the co. that is not directly covered by these funds falls on the rest of the taxpayers that do not benefit from this operation. Also, 1 million dollars will not buy a mile of highway. Based on the predicted traffic in the current EIR, Hwy 89 will need constant repairs and maint. This can only be provided as prescribed by Cal-Trans & the county, both of which require tax funds. This is not a win for co. or McCloud taxpayers because of hidden costs w/ this project that will reveal themselves over time.</p>	<p>This is for the Economic Development Council to decide.</p>	<p>Do everything possible to stop the present contract from going any further & either change and adjust it or reintroduce it. I have attended the public hearings on this over the last couple of years, and it has become obvious that it is all about the money. Nestlé will do whatever it takes to move forward w/ the present contract because of the enormous profits involved. If the contract were re-written to increase the cost to Nestle of the water to twice or three times as much they would still take it.</p>	
104	McCloud SVR				<p>I feel as a resident on Squaw Creek that any unnatural and/or industrial activity upstream from me would have a negative effect on the creek and aquifer. The creek/aquifer supports not only the valley ecosystem but is vital to the McCloud River as a spawning habitat. They both presently are and have been healthy resources. There are too many unknowns to allow this Nestles project to jepordize this fragile existence.</p>		<p>A couple of revival concepts I might suggest. 1. Develop the mill site as a destination venue. Possibly a theme park incorporating the old mill theme and partnering with the railroad with an ice rink for winter activities. 2. An outdoor lawn stadium similar to the Brit in Jacksonville, OR that has a concert season during the late spring till early fall featuring top name artist.</p>	<p>Continue the excellent work Watershed Council, you guys are on the right track!</p>	<p>Also, I've always had a suspicion after attending the first community meeting a couple of years ago that Nestles "good neighbor policy" was suspect when the question was raised regarding Squaw Valley wells going dry. Their response was they would not be responsible unless proven otherwise. This has never been an issue, wells in the valley have never gone dry (not sure about the severe drought years in the late 70's) and they said the individual property owners would have to prove that Nestles was responsible. This would then require lengthy research and cost to me. They create the problem as a "new" good neighbor and I'm left with the grief.....kiss my butt Nestles!</p>

105	Mt. Shasta				I would like to see the Nestle Project rejected entirely as I do my best to buy only for local growers, shops and in general would like to see all the local businesses involved without outside larger companies involvement. I think the consciousness of the communities in South Siskiyou Co. differs from the consciousness of larger corporations and I prefer that prevail in order to continue a quality of life that attracts the existing consciousness. I also feel McCloud has a very valuable resource and that there are talented, motivated citizens in McCloud and surrounding areas that could create a quality product and employ many locals.			I think what you are doing right now will be helpful if more people are aware & start thinking of creative solutions. With the bottled water industry seeming to become more popular, McCloud should do whatever it can to regain the water rights and then run an ad seeking professionals in the area that may have the experience to create McCloud Water Company. I'd be happy to assist with fund raising, even though I have no experience in the area but am happy to be of help. Are any of the people that used to operate the lumber companies in McCloud interested in running a water bottling company? I only moved to Mt Shasta nearly 6 years ago and still have my job in So CA and regret I am not knowledgeable on this subject. A few more nice restaurants and gift shops with more advertisement might result from people knowing about McCloud if they start bottling water with that name.	I don't know anything about the Watershed Council to suggest anything. I am just grateful they/you exist. Thank you!
106	Shasta Forest							I would love to see the idea of local residents/property owners throughout Siskiyou Co market the water through a branch of the McCloud Water District. I saw something recently where in North Dakota, the wheat farmers have a cooperative to market their product.....The mill is owned by the state (whereas in this case the water would be owned by the Water District). In both cases, it would serve as protection for these valuable commodities.	I believe that those objecting to the Nestle's project as it stands should contact the president of Mt. Shasta Forest. They have approx 700 lot owners who have a vested interest in this project since all of those lots are wells...Collectively, I am sure they (which includes me) would help with your cause
107	McCloud				Reject the project. Because I am against any sale of water from our area, and I love Mccloud the way it is; quaint, quiet, and unique. There is nothing unique or positive about a huge box-plant in your town that won't even support a couple's financial needs.	This deal was rushed into by an out-of-line MCSD Board. McCloud should have voted on this deal. I will leave this town if this plant comes in. I hate the idea of Nestle being in my town.		Expecting one or 2 large businesses to come in and save us is an outdated notion. As a community, we need to search for and acquire state or grant funding to jump-start small businesses. Why no ski shop in town, or no fly fishing shop? There should be fishing shops w/ guide service, skiing businesses, a restaurant that is open later than 7pm on most days, and other business that suits the location and beauty of where we are. No more gas stations! No big boxes!	Expand your reach into other water-related issues (open up access to river), research ways to promote green/sustainable small business. Challenge water rights, and keep Nestle out of here!
108	McCloud				Yes. Bad contract, too bog of an impact (elec, traffic, air, noise)	Restrict further public use of public water		small manufacturer operations, tourist-based, timber products	Study all water issues in basin